Meeting Hashtag: #ACGR2024 or #ACGRMeeting2024



National Meeting 15-16 April, 2024 G30 Lecture Theatre, Ground Floor, Western end of the Adelaide Health & Medical Sciences (AHMS) Building, University of Adelaide

Day 1: Monday 15 April

8:30 am	Registration			
9.00 am	Welcome & overview	Professor Clive Baldock, Dean of Graduate Studies & Researcher Development, Western Sydney University & ACGR President		
	Welcome to Country	ТВА		
9.15 am	Welcome and introduction from University of Adelaide & University of South Australia	Professor Marnie Hughes-Warrington, Standing Acting Vice Chancellor, University of South Australia. Professor Michael Goodsite, Deputy Vice-Chancellor Research, University of Adelaide.		
	Session 1 – Looking ahead: Higher education and graduate research post Australian Universities Accord Chair: Professor Clive Baldock			
9.30 am	With the recent release of the Australian Universities Accord Final Report, the keynote panel will discuss the future of the higher education landscape and the implications for graduate research over coming years.	Professor Marnie-Hughes Warrington, Standing Acting Vice Chancellor, University of South Australia. Professor Andrew Norton, Australian National University.		
10.30 am	Morning Tea			
	Session 2 – Supervisor training and professional development Chair: Professor Chris Abbiss			
11.00 am	It is a responsibility of institutions to provide appropriate training, education and support to supervisors. The purpose of this session is to discuss the management, structure, delivery and content of supervisor professional development across the sector.	Professor Jeanette Fyffe, Deakin University. Professor Nellie Georgiou-Karistianis & Professor JaneMaree Maher, Monash University. Associate Professor John Rees, University of Technology Sydney.		
12.00pm	Lunch			
	Session 3 - Fostering industry engagement Chair: Professor Wendy Wright	on 3 - Fostering industry engagement: why and how Professor Wendy Wright		
1.00 pm	Federal Government incentives are driving the emergence of various mechanisms to support graduate	Professor Carolin Plewa, University of Adelaide. Professor Andrew Barton, Federation University.		
t				

Meeting Hashtag: #ACGR2024 or #ACGRMeeting2024

Meeting Hashtag: #ACGR2024 or #ACGRMeeting2024

Day 2: Tuesday 16th April

0.45	C-#					
8:45 am	Coffee					
	Session 6 – Special Interest Groups (G30 Lecture Theatre/					
	Chairs: Professor Ann Evans, Professor Imelda Whelehan, Professor Clive Baldock					
9.00 am	HDR candidates wellbeing	Review	and governance of	Developing a National Researcher		
	Chair – Professor Ann Evans	agreements		Development Framework		
	(AHMS Level 4 – Room 4050b)	Chair – I	Professor Imelda	Chair- Professor Clive Baldock		
	Ensuring the wellbeing of	Wheleh	*** *	(AHMS G030 Lecture Theatre)		
	research candidates	-	Level 4 – Room 4050a)	At the April 2023 ACGR meeting the		
	encompasses more than the		ring the volume of	idea of creating a future-focussed,		
	provision of mental health	_	ents coming through from	culturally-appropriate and career-		
	support. This session is an	-	, government, and	facing capability framework for		
	opportunity to share wellbeing initiatives and to consider the	-	ropic sponsors among	Australian & New Zealand researchers		
	boundaries of support that		his session will explore	was well-received. In preparation for active contribution to a National		
	universities can and should	issues such as who reviews, and risk manages? What is needed to		Research Workforce Development		
	provide.		•	Program (Accord, 2024), it is timely to		
	provide.	c) supervisor's ability to re-activate this		re-activate this discussion and		
				progress the idea beyond a concept		
			partnership. Are	for ACGR Executive consideration.		
		prospective partners inclined to ask for too much? What is the				
				This exploratory workshop aims to		
		TEQSA v	iew on 3 rd party	capture your input to inform a		
		agreeme	ents?	potential national project, specifically		
				(1) opportunities of a National		
				Researcher Development Framework		
				(2) identifiable risks and (3) a		
				prioritised 'wish list' of framework		
				features.		
				Supported by:		
				The wee Consultancy. habit lab.co.		
				Lab _{co.}		
10.00 am	Special Interest Group report bac	ck				
10.30 am	Morning Tea					
	Session 7 - Challenging conversations, mediation and HDR complaint resolution – sharing best practice					
11.00 am	Chair: Professor Imelda Wheleha Like most conflicts, issues involvi		Professor Jeremiah Peiffer	. Navada ab I laivagaitu		
11.00 am		•	Professor Jerennan Penier	, Murdoch Oniversity		
	research candidates usually start small; however, they can quickly get out of		Professor Sandra Orgeig, University of South Australia			
	control. By the time you intervene, the		Professor Sandra Orgelg, University of South Australia			
	solution is not likely to be an easy one, placing a considerable burden on you and stretching your skills in conflict resolution. Formal complaints are relatively infrequent because of the vulnerabilities individual candidates		Diana Reed, University of Adeliade This session will involve a short presentation and collegial conversation about best (and worst) practices in HDR complaint resolution. There will be some small group work considering questions such as:			
	express in relation to the successful					
	completion of their research and even the		Do academics have access to PD regarding difficult			
	impact on their future careers because		conversations?			
	the complaints are often about their		How effective are informal complaint management processes? Parameters and district an appropriate for early discrete graphs discrete graphs discrete graphs discrete graphs.			
	academic supervisors. Graduate Deans		• Do you have mediation processes for early dispute resolution?			

Meeting Hashtag: #ACGR2024 or #ACGRMeeting2024

	and postgraduate coordinators are often left to attempt to engineer solutions in an ultimately broken situation.	 Is there an advocate/dean of students function at your university? What stops candidates raising concerns early on and are there preventative processes that help (eg. Shared expectations process) What if a cohort complains? When is it time to break apart the supervisory arrangement? 	
12.00pm	NZ DDoGs update ARTN update	Tracy Riley, Massey University Catherine Murphy, Macquarie University & ARTN Committee member	
	Sponsor updates	Lucy Cooke, Epigeum James Cutler, ResearchMaster	
12:30pm	Lunch		
	Session 8 – Selecting HDR Candidates Chair: Professor Ann Evans		
1.30 pm	Many challenges in HDR programs occur when the candidate is not the right fit for a project or for the program. Research candidates are typically with us for 3-4 years and work in a similar way to junior employees within an institution. However, the selection criteria and process is often quite different for staff and student applicants. This session explores different approaches to candidate selection.	 This session will involve small group work considering questions such as: What factors should be considered in selecting research candidate? Should these differ for PhD and MPhil? What mechanisms could be used to ensure candidates are fit for the role? How should we support supervisors in recruitment and selection? How do you ensure your selection process is robust across an institution? 	
2.30 -	Meeting summary and closure	Professor Clive Baldock, Western Sydney University & ACGR President	

Proudly sponsored by







